

| Date of Meeting | 26 April 2022 |
|------------------------|---|
| Report Title | Annual Governance Statement |
| Report Number | HSCP.22.025 |
| Lead Officer | Alex Stephen, Chief Finance Officer |
| Report Author Details | Alex Stephen, Chief Finance Officer alestephen@aberdeencity.gov.uk |
| Consultation Checklist | Yes |
| Directions Required | No |
| Appendices | Appendix A – Annual Governance Statement Appendix B – Statement from the Chief Internal Auditor |

1. Purpose of the Report

- 1.1. To provide the Risk, Audit and Performance Committee (RAPC) with the opportunity to provide comment on and approve in principle the annual governance statement.
- **1.2.** To agree that assurances on the governance framework can be provided to Aberdeen City Council and NHS Grampian.

2. Recommendations

It is recommended that Risk, Audit & Performance Committee:

- a) Comments on and approves the governance statement at Appendix A, and
- b) Notes the statement from the Chief Internal Auditor at Appendix B.

3. Summary of Key Information

3.1. The annual governance statement forms a key part of the annual accounts and provides readers of the accounts with assurance that the governance framework is fit for purpose.







- 3.2. The framework in an Integration Joint Board (IJB) context is complex, as although the IJB has its own governance framework, assurance is required that the partners' governance frameworks are also fit for purpose, given that the operational responsibility is maintained by the partner organisations. The IJB will be consolidated into the group accounts of Aberdeen City Council and NHS Grampian as a joint venture, and they therefore require assurance that the IJB's governance framework is fit for purpose. Therefore, a failure or weakness in either of the IJB, ACC or NHSG's governance frameworks may require disclosure in the accounts of any of the partners depending on the severity.
- **3.3.** This year, as in every year, the JJB continues to review, modify and improve its governance framework. The following documents were subject to an annual or periodic review and were updated:
 - The medium term financial framework approved by the IJB on 10 March 2022.
 - The IJB Records Management Plan was updated and approved on 23 September 2021.
 - The Risk Appetite Statement was approved 15 December 2021.
 - The Board Assurance and Escalation Framework was revised and approved 23 September 2021.
 - The financial regulations were reviewed and approved on 23 September 2021.
 - The progress against our equalities outcomes was reviewed and new outcomes agreed on 25 May 2021.
 - Public Engagement protocol agreed by the IJB 24 August 2021.
 - Equalities outcomes reviewed and updated by the IJB 25 May 2021.
 - A whistleblowing policy was approved by the IJB 6 July 2021.
 - The IJB undertook a series of workshops which were facilitated to aid future decision making.
- 3.4. In relation to the coronavirus pandemic the Partnership reduced its service delivery and prioritised services to allow it to deal with the implications of the coronavirus and support a reduction in the spread of the virus by undertaking social distancing and reducing contact with service users. Governance arrangements have also been established in the Partnership where the status of service delivery is reported to a daily meeting of the Leadership Team, Staff Side\Unions and Bon Accord Care. At this meeting it is agreed what needs to be escalated and what can be agreed using the delegated authority of either the Chief Officer or Chief Finance Officer. These meetings







are documented and supported by our control centre which was established to aid the flow of information.

- 3.5. A draft annual governance statement is attached in Appendix A of this report. The governance statement has been designed to provide assurance around compliance against the local code of corporate governance and the governance principles contained therein. Information has been provided by Aberdeen City Council and NHS Grampian for inclusion in the statement. The paragraph on the internal financial control arrangements has been agreed with the Chief Internal Auditor and further information is contained in Appendix B of this report.
- **3.6.** The Leadership Team has identified improvements to further strengthen the governance environment, and these are identified in the governance statement and will be monitored throughout the financial year. Good progress was made in closing off the improvements made last financial year.

4. Implications for RAP

- **4.1. Equalities** There are no equalities implications arising from this report.
- **4.2.** Fairer Scotland Duty There are no Fairer Scotland Duties arising from this report.
- **4.3. Financial** There are no financial implications arising from this report.
- **4.4. Workforce** There are no workforce implications arising from this report.
- **4.5.** Legal There are no legal implications arising from this report.
- **4.6.** Other There are no other implications arising from this report.

5. Links to ACHSCP Strategic Plan

5.1. Good governance and internal controls are fundamental to ensuring the delivery of the strategic plan.

6. Management of Risk

6.1. Identified risk(s): Good governance and internal controls are fundamental to the delivery of the strategic plan and therefore applicable to most of the risks within the strategic risk register.







- **6.2. Link to risk number on strategic or operational risk register:** Risk numbers 1-10 of the strategic risk register
- **6.3.** How might the content of this report impact or mitigate the known risks: The Annual Governance Statement provides information on the effectiveness of the JJB Governance Framework.

| Approvals | | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Jondro Macleool | Sandra Macleod (Chief Officer) | |
| Alala | Alex Stephen (Chief Finance Officer) | |







Appendix A Annual Governance Statement

Scope of Responsibility

The Integration Joint Board ("JB") is responsible for ensuring that its business is conducted in accordance with the law and appropriate standards, safeguarding public funds and assets and making arrangements to secure best value in their use.

In discharging this responsibility, the Chief Officer has put in place arrangements for governance which include the system of internal control. This is designed to manage risk to a reasonable level but cannot eliminate the risk of failure to achieve policies, aims and objectives and can therefore only provide reasonable but not absolute assurance of effectiveness.

The JB has a reliance on the Aberdeen City Council and NHS Grampian systems of internal control, which support compliance with both organisations' policies and promote achievement of each organisation's aims and objectives, as well as those of the JB.

The result of this is a situation where assurances are required on the effectiveness of the governance arrangements from the three partners. This means that a significant failure in the internal control environment of one of the three partners may require to be disclosed in the accounts of all three partners and not just the JB and the partner where the issue occurred.

The Governance Framework

In this complex environment of circular assurances, it is important that the JB has its own local code of corporate governance and regularly reviews performance against the governance principles included within this code. The JB has developed an Assurance Framework in conjunction with the Good Governance Institute which provides readers with an understanding of the governance framework and the assurances that can be obtained from it.

The JB agreed on 11 April 2017 at the Audit & Performance Systems Committee to adopt a local code of corporate governance which was built around the principles identified in the CIPFA\SOLACE¹ Delivering Good Governance in Local Government Framework (2016 Edition). The local code of governance is reviewed annually and reported to the same audit committee where the annual governance statement is approved. This code provides a list of documents\activities from an JB, NHS Grampian and Aberdeen City Council perspective which provide assurance on the governance framework.

¹ CIPFA - The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy SOLACE — The Society of Local Authority Chief Executives







A review is also undertaken by the Chief Finance Officer evaluating the JB's governance environment against the governance principles detailed in the CIPFA document titled the 'The role of the chief financial officer in local government'.

Whilst both these documents were specifically written for local government, the governance principles can be used by other public sector organisations. Also, the UB is defined as a local government organisation per the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 and Aberdeen City Council has also adopted the governance principles from the delivering good governance document in its own local code of corporate governance.

Coronavirus Arrangements

In relation to the coronavirus pandemic the Partnership reduced its service delivery and prioritised services to allow it to deal with the implications of the coronavirus and support a reduction in the spread of the virus by undertaking social distancing and reducing contact with service users. Governance arrangements have also been established in the Partnership where the status of service delivery is assessed and this is reported to a daily meeting of the leadership team, staff side\unions and Bon Accord Care. At this meeting it is agreed what needs to be escalated and what can be agreed using the delegated authority of either the Chief Officer or Chief Finance Officer. These meetings are documented and supported by our control centre which was established to aid the flow of information.

These arrangements have continued throughout the financial year, however, were particularly important during the early waves where decisions were required to be made quickly. The JJB has been operating throughout the pandemic, but with light agendas during the first wave and no sub committees. Since then, the JJB agendas have returned to normal levels and the two sub committees now meeting regularly, although it was decided to cancel an JJB and Risk, Audit & Performance Meeting in January to help provide staff with time to respond to the omicron variant.







Seven Governance Principles of local governance framework.

Against each of the seven governance principles adopted by the JB there are key documents, activities, policies and arrangements which help address these. For the JB some of these documents belong to NHS Grampian and Aberdeen City Council given their operational delivery role and the fact that the staff have remained employed by the partner bodies.

The seven governance principles identified in the local code of corporate governance and recommended in the CIPFA/SOLACE Framework are identified below, along with narratives evidencing compliance with the principles.

Principle 1 – Behaving with integrity, demonstrating strong commitment to ethical values and representing the rule of law.

Integrity: The following values of the IJB are indicated in the Strategic Plan:

- caring
- person-centred
- enabling

These values form part of the decision-making process of the UB and are evident in the actions and decisions made by the Board. The UB has appointed a Standards Officer who is responsible, amongst other things, for the maintaining of Registers of Interests, Registers of Gifts and Hospitality and training on the Model Code of Conduct for Members of Devolved Public Bodies.

Ethical Values: The JB has agreed in principle to adopt the Unison Ethical Care Charter and has provided funding to care providers to allow the Scottish Living Wage to be paid.

Rule of Law: A comprehensive consultation process has been developed with officers from Aberdeen City Council and NHS Grampian to ensure that decisions and reports comply with legislation. A member of the Council's Governance Team attends the JB to ensure that decisions taken are in line with any legislative requirements. The JB has appointed a Chief Finance Officer to ensure that the accounts and finances are in line with the statutory accounting environment. The JB has standing orders and an integration scheme which provide information on where decisions can be made. Two sub committees have been created and each has its own terms of reference.







Improvement activities undertaken during the year: A whistleblowing policy was approved by the IJB 6 July 2021.

Principle 2 – Ensuring openness and comprehensive stakeholder engagement.

Openness: Pre-COVID the JJB was a public board where members of the public and press could attend and agendas, reports and minutes were available publicly to review. Therefore, members of the public could assess whether they believe that decisions are being taken in the public interest. As a result of the COVID restrictions JJB meetings are currently held electronically and are recorded. The recordings are made available to the public shortly after the meeting. The Risk, Audit & Performance Committee is also a public meeting. The JJB has its own complaints handling procedure which complies with Scottish Public Services Ombudsman's guidance.

Stakeholder Engagement: The non-voting membership of the UB is set out in the Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Integration Joint Boards) (Scotland) Order 2014. This comprises six professional members and a minimum of four stakeholder representatives for each of the following groups - staff, third sector bodies, service users and carers. The UB agreed a budget protocol on the 7 March 2017 which sought to formalise stakeholder engagement with the partner organisations around the budget process. Care providers are very much thought of as a key part of the partnership and invited to the majority of the events the UB hosts. The UB has established the Aberdeen City Joint Staff Forum, which includes representation from the trade unions and the staff partnership, as a forum for workforce issues affecting social care and health staff. An engagement and consultation protocol with the trade unions was agreed at the UB on 21 January 2020.

Improvement activities undertaken during the year: A Public Engagement protocol was agreed by the IJB 24 August 2021 and our equalities outcomes reviewed and updated by the IJB 25 May 2021.







Principle 3 – Defining outcomes in terms of sustainable economic, social and environmental benefits.

Economic: The JB has agreed a Medium-Term Financial Framework which is updated annually. The transformation programme and JB report format specifically highlight the economic impact of the decisions being taken on current and future financial years. Work has been undertaken to establish the financial and operational benefits of the major transformation projects. The partnership has adopted the lean six sigma quality improvement methodology, has trained relevant staff and has undertaken and implemented several improvement projects using this methodology. The outcomes of some of these projects are directly informing, wider transformational activity across the partnership including the redesign of staffing teams aligned to localities and are part of our conditions for change programme. A strategic planning framework has been agreed and implemented for the large hospital services. A governance structure has been implemented to support this work including a cross system transformation board which include senior officers from the Council, NHSG and the JBs.

Social: The JB is expected to publish a revised Strategic Plan after its June meeting which identifies outcomes and the direction of travel over the next few years. The majority of outcomes are closely linked to how social care and health services will be delivered and improved over the life of the Strategic Plan.

Environmental: A public bodies climate change duties report is collated and submitted annually on behalf of the JB.

Principle 4 - Determining the interventions necessary to optimise the achievement of intended outcomes.

Interventions: A transformation programme and leadership team objectives have been developed which will help support the delivery of the Strategic Plan. This programme is monitored on a regular basis and information on progress is received by the JJB and the Risk, Audit and Performance Committee for scrutiny and challenge. Decisions to procure services costing over £50,000 are taken by the JJB in so far as they relate to a Direction made to the NHS or Aberdeen City Council in respect of a delegated function and each report contains a section on risk. The Enabling Programme Board monitors any new projects ensuring that an option appraisal and project plans are developed in line with best practice. The benefits, both financial and non-financial, are highlighted and contained in the project plans.

Improvement activities undertaken during the year: The leadership team objectives fully aligned to the Strategic Plan seeks to mainstream existing projects, focusing on strategic performance indicators to measure impact and demonstrate meaningful change. A project management approach was undertaken in the delivery of the







objectives. The draft plan requires greater focus on prevention, early intervention and addressing health inequalities which will have an impact on improving outcomes.

Principle 5 – Developing the entity's capacity, including the capability of its leadership and the individuals within it.

Entity's Capacity: A workforce plan has been developed for the JB covering health and social care services. Capacity is further developed and scrutinised by having stakeholders out with those employed by the JB, ACC or NHS Grampian around the JB and many of its working groups. The career ready programme and modern apprenticeships have been established within services. Regular meetings have been held with the direct reports of the leadership team to promote the localities model and the leadership team objectives. A new leadership team structure has been implemented to allow Strategic Plan outcomes to be achieved.

Leadership: The JB has set itself goals and has evaluated their performance against these goals. An organisational development plan has been developed and agreed which has a focus on leadership. A conference was held by the partnership during the year focussing on social care and health which was well attended.

Individuals: An induction programme has been established for the JB which complements the induction programmes of NHS Grampian and Aberdeen City Council. Monthly newsletters are sent to all staff and an awards ceremony was held to celebrate achievements during the year. Staff surveys have been undertaken for Council staff and the 'iMatter' survey is undertaken annually. The outputs from these surveys are discussed by the JB Leadership Team and any necessary improvement actions implemented.

Improvement activities undertaken during the year: During the year many of the development activities were cancelled or postponed although the team continued to learn and adapt in some very challenging situations. The IJB itself undertook a series on workshops with external support to help aid future decision making.

Future Developments: Establish a leadership programme which helps install the principles of cross system leadership throughout the Partnership.

Principle 6 - Managing risk and performance through robust internal control and strong public financial management.

Risk: Two risk registers have been developed. The first is an JB Strategic Risk Register and this documents the risk that the JB may face in delivery of the Strategic Plan. The second register covers operational risks and is a summary of







the departmental operational risk registers. The Strategic Risk Register is updated frequently and reported to the Risk, Audit & Performance Committee and the JB.

Performance: A performance management framework has been developed for the JB and is reported frequently to the Risk, Audit & Performance Committee and the JB. Performance is also monitored by bi-monthly city sector performance review meetings, where the Chief Executives and senior finance officers from NHS Grampian and Aberdeen City Council discuss performance and finance in a structured meeting with the Chief Officer and Chief Finance Officer. Performance management information is provided at a national NHS level and also contained within the statutory performance indicators reported by the Council. An annual performance report is required as defined in the legislation (Public Bodies (Joint Working) (Scotland) Act 2014) underpinning the creation of the JB.

Internal Controls: The internal control environment is largely delivered by the partner organisations given their operational remit. However, internal controls are evidenced in the JB integration scheme and financial regulations. A review of the JB internal controls is undertaken annually by the Chief Internal Auditor and his opinion on the adequacy of the internal control environment is highlighted below.

Financial Management: The IJB has received quarterly reports on the financial position as indicated in the integration scheme. All IJB reports contain a financial implications section advising the IJB on the budget implications of agreeing the recommendations of the report.

Improvement activities undertaken during the year: The strategic risk register and risk appetite statement were reviewed by the IJB in a workshop and subsequently formally approved on 15 December 2021.

Principle 7 – Implementing good practices in transparency, reporting, and audit to deliver effective accountability.

Transparency: Recordings of the IJB meetings were made available to the public after the meeting was held due to COVID restrictions and the agendas, reports and minutes are available for the public to inspect. The Risk, Audit & Performance committee is also a public meeting. The IJB has developed a publication scheme as required under the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002.

Reporting: The annual accounts management commentary section will have a focus on both financial and service performance over the last financial year. A review has been undertaken of the role of the North East Partnership which has strengthen governance arrangements for hosted and large hospital services.

Audit: The 2019/20 accounts received an unqualified audit opinion. The Risk, Audit & Performance Committee has received an internal audit plan from the Chief Internal Auditor and internal audit reports over the last financial year.







Improvement activities undertaken during the year: Work has been undertaken to review the clinical care governance arrangements in the partnership and the role of the Clinical Care Governance Committee in this process.

Future Developments: Continue to review and refine the clinical care governance framework and arrangements.

Review of Effectiveness

The JB has responsibility for conducting, at least annually, a review of the effectiveness of the governance arrangements, including the system of internal control. The review is informed by the work of the JB Leadership Team (who have responsibility for the development and maintenance of the internal control framework environment), the work of the internal auditor and the Chief Internal Auditor's annual report; and reports from the external auditor and other review agencies and inspectorates.

The Chief Internal Auditor has reviewed the IJB's internal control framework and in their opinion the IJB had an adequate and effective framework for Governance, Risk Management and Control, covering the period 1 April 2021 to 31 March 2022.

Whilst recommendations for improvement were identified in audits that have been completed, as reported to the Risk, Audit and Performance Committee, areas of good practice, improvement, and procedural compliance were also identified.

The governance framework was reviewed by the UB Leadership Team against the governance principles identified in the CIPFA Role of the Chief Finance Officer Framework. The Risk, Audit and Performance Committee was updated on progress with the implementation of improvement actions identified on the 26 April 2022.

The local code of corporate governance was agreed by the Audit & Performance Systems Committee on 11 April 2017 and progress against the seven principles is detailed above. At Risk, Audit and Performance Committee on 26 April 2022 an update was provided on the sources of assurance contained in the local code of governance.

The work undertaken against the improvement actions highlighted in the 2021/22 annual governance statement is detailed in the improvement activities undertaken during the year sections detailed above. Although it should be noted that given the focus this year has been on responding to the pandemic some of these activities still require further work and are therefore being kept as areas for improvement in 2022/23.

A summarised list of the 2021/22 improvement actions is shown directly below:

Area for Improvement Responsible Completion Improvement and Action Agreed Party Date







Outcome to Be Achieved

| 1. | Transformation Programme | Create Programme Management Structure | Transformation Lead | 31 March 2022 |
|----|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------|
| 2. | Clinical Care Governance | Review arrangements | Clinical Lead | 31 March 2022 |
| 3. | Leadership Development | Implement new programme to support cross system leadership. | SOARS Lead | 31 March 2022 |

In addition, the review of the effectiveness of the governance arrangements and systems of internal control within Aberdeen City Council and NHS Grampian places reliance upon the individual bodies' management assurances in relation to the soundness of their systems of internal control.

Accordingly, the following notes support the reliance that is placed upon those systems:

i) Aberdeen City Council's governance framework

Aberdeen City Council's governance framework comprises the systems and processes, culture and values by which the Council is directed and controlled, and the activities through which it accounts to, engages with and leads the community. It enables the Council to monitor the achievement of its outcomes given the crucial role of governance, performance management and risk management in improving stewardship and how we do business. Reviewing our governance activity enables us to consider whether those objectives have led to the delivery of appropriate, cost effective services to the citizens of Aberdeen.

The system of internal control is a significant part of that framework and is designed to manage risk to a reasonable level. It cannot eliminate all risk of failure to achieve policies, aims and objectives, or comply with controls, and can therefore only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance of effectiveness. The system of internal control is based on an ongoing process designed to: -

- identify and prioritise the risks to the achievement of the Council's outcomes;
- evaluate the likelihood of those risks being realised and the impact should they be realised; and to manage those risks efficiently, effectively and economically.







The Audit, Risk & Scrutiny Committee has a key role in this, and an annual report of its activities and effectiveness will be considered by the committee and referred to Council for its consideration. This demonstrates improved transparency, understanding and challenge of the activity and outcomes from the Audit, Risk & Scrutiny Committee. The Council has an approved <u>Local Code of Corporate Governance</u> which sets out their commitment to the seven principles recommended in the CIPFA / SOLACE Framework 2016, by citing the primary sources of assurance which demonstrate the effectiveness of the systems of internal control.

- Principle A: Behaving with integrity, demonstrating strong commitment to ethical values, and respecting the rule of law
- Principle B: Ensuring openness and comprehensive stakeholder engagement
- Principle C: Defining outcomes in terms of sustainable economic, social and environmental benefits
- Principle D: Determining the interventions necessary to optimise the achievement of the intended outcomes
- Principle E: Developing the entity's capacity, including the capability of its leadership and the individuals within it
- Principle F: Managing risk and performance through robust internal control and strong public financial management
- Principle G: Implementing good practices in transparency, reporting and audit, to deliver effective accountability

In summary the Council has undertaken a self-evaluation of its Local Code of Corporate Governance as of 31 March 2022. This demonstrates that reasonable assurance can be placed upon the adequacy and effectiveness of Aberdeen City Council and its systems of governance.

ii) NHS Grampian governance framework (pending approval)

NHS Grampian is required to operate within the aspects of the Scottish Public Finance Manual (SPFM) which are set out within the guidance issued to Chief Executives and more generally to all Board members by the Scottish Government Health and Social Care Directorates as being applicable to NHS Boards. The SPFM is issued by Scottish Ministers to provide guidance to the Scottish Government and







other relevant bodies on the proper handling and `reporting of public funds. The SPFM sets out the relevant statutory, parliamentary and administrative requirements, emphasises the need for efficiency, effectiveness and economy, and promotes good practice and high standards of propriety.

As Accountable Officer, the Chief Executive is responsible for maintaining an adequate and effective system of internal control that identifies, prioritises and manages the principal risks facing the organisation, promotes achievement of the organisation's aims and objectives and supports compliance with the organisation's policies and safeguarding public funds.

The Board continually monitors and reviews the effectiveness of the system of internal control with a specific focus on the delivery of safe and effective patient care, achievement of national and local targets and demonstrating best value and the efficient use of resources. Key elements of the system of internal control include:

- A Board which meets regularly to discharge its governance responsibilities, set the strategic direction for the organisation and approve decisions in line with the Scheme of Delegation. The Board comprises the Executive Directors and Non-Executive members. The Board activity is open to public scrutiny with minutes of meetings publicly available;
- The Board receives regular reports on Healthcare Associated Infection from the Clinical Governance Committee and reducing infection as well as ensuring that health and safety, cleanliness and good clinical practice are high priorities;
- Scheme of Delegation, Standing Orders and Standing Financial Instructions approved by the Board are subject to regular review to assess whether they are relevant and fully reflective of both best practice and mandatory requirements;
- Mature and organisation wide risk management arrangements built on localised risk registers and processes which ensure, as appropriate, escalation of significant instances of non-compliance with applicable laws and regulations;
- Dedicated full time members of staff for key statutory compliance functions including Information Governance, Health and Safety, fire and asbestos, tasked with ensuring they are up to date with all relevant legislation and are responsible for co-ordinating management action in these areas;







- A focus on best value and commitment to ensuring that resources are used efficiently, effectively and economically taking into consideration equal opportunities and sustainable development requirements;
- Consideration by the Board of regular reports from the chairs of the performance governance, engagement and participation, staff governance, clinical governance, audit committee and from the Chair of the Endowment Trustees concerning any significant matters on governance, risk and internal controls;
- Each key governance committee is supported by a designated lead Executive Director who has the delegated management accountability for statutory and regulatory matters. In addition, senior leadership arrangements were strengthened during the year to provide additional capacity and support to the Chief Executive:
- Regular review of financial performance, risk management arrangements and non-financial performance against key service measures and standards by the Performance Governance Committee;
- Regular review of service quality against recognised professional clinical standards by the Clinical Governance Committee;
- Regular review of workforce arrangements and implementation of the NHS Scotland Staff Governance standards by the Staff Governance Committee;
- An active joint management and staff partnership forum with staff side representation embedded in all key management teams and a dedicated full time Employee Director who is a member of the Board;
- Regular review of priorities for infrastructure investment and progress against the agreed Asset Management Plan by an Asset Management Group chaired by a Board Executive Director and including management representatives from all operational sectors and representation from the clinical advisory structure;
- Clear allocation of responsibilities to ensure we review and develop our organisational arrangements and services in line with national standards and guidance including consultation with all stakeholders on service change proposals to inform decision making;
- Promotion of effective cross sector governance arrangements through participation by the JB Board members and the Chief Executives of each of







the partner organisations in the regular meetings between the Chief Executives of all Public Sector organisations in Grampian and performance review meetings with each JB Chief Officer to further develop and drive improvement through integrated service delivery;

- A patient feedback service to record and investigate complaints and policies to protect employees who raise concerns in relation to suspected wrongdoing such as clinical malpractice, fraud and health and safety breaches; and
- Separate governance arrangements for the NHS Grampian Endowment Funds including a Chair of the Trustees elected from within the body of the Trustees, an annual general meeting of all Trustees to agree all policy matters and an Endowment Sub Committee of Trustees with delegated authority to manage the day to day operational matters of the charity.

Based on the evidence considered during the review of the effectiveness of the internal control environment NHS Grampian has confirmed that there are no known outstanding significant control weaknesses or other failures to achieve the standards set out in the guidance on governance, risk management and control.

Certification: Subject to the above, and on the basis of assurances provided, we consider that the internal control environment operating during the reporting period provides reasonable and objective assurance that any significant risks impacting upon the achievement of our principal objectives will be identified and actions taken to avoid or mitigate their impact. Systems are in place to continually review and improve the internal control environment and action plans are in place to identify areas for improvement. It is our opinion that reasonable assurance can be placed upon the adequacy and effectiveness of the Aberdeen City Integration Joint Board's systems of governance.

| Sandra Macleod | Luan Grugeon |
|----------------|--------------|
| Chief Officer | Chair |
| April 2022 | April 2022 |







Appendix B - Internal Audit Annual Report for the year ended 31 March 2022

As Chief Internal Auditor of Aberdeen City Integration Joint Board, I am pleased to present my annual statement on the adequacy and effectiveness of the Board's framework of governance, risk management and control for the year ended 31 March 2021. The purpose of this statement is to assist the Chief Financial Officer in forming his opinion in relation to the Annual Governance Statement to be included in the Annual Accounts.

Opinion

It is my opinion that reasonable assurance can be placed upon the adequacy and effectiveness of the Board's framework of governance, risk management and control in the year to 31 March 2021.

Whilst issues were identified in audits that have been completed, as reported to the Risk, Audit and Performance Committee, areas of good practice, improvement, and procedural compliance were also identified.

Basis of Opinion

My opinion is based on the audit work performed in the year and up to the date of the finalisation of this report, as set out and agreed in the Internal Audit (IA) Plan. In accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards, my assessment and opinion over the framework of governance, risk management and control are based upon the whole activity and work of the IA including:

- The results of internal audits completed (in final or draft) up to the date of this report.
- Any follow-up action taken in respect of audits from previous periods.
- The effects of any significant changes in the JB's control environment.
- Matters arising from previous annual reports to the JB.
- Any limitations that may have been placed on the scope of IA we have no restrictions to declare in this report, although we have performed all audits remotely this year and some physical verification work has not been possible.
- Reports issued by the IJB's external auditors.
- Internal Audit's knowledge of the JB's governance, risk management and performance monitoring arrangements.
- The results of other assurance work completed during the year. Specifically, consideration will be given to the contents of NHS Grampian's Internal Audit annual report when available.



